

REMARKS

Applicants, by this amendment have replaced independent claims 1, 17 and 33 with new claims 49-56; and have, accordingly, amended the dependent claims to depend either directly or indirectly therefrom.

Claim 49 includes encryption means for encrypting the logins. Rose, Jr. does not describe, show or suggest encryption of login data. As such, rejection of claim 49 on Rose, Jr. is inappropriate since a reference used for a rejection under 102 (b) must show each and every element claimed.

Claims 2 through 16 depend either directly or indirectly from claim 49 and patentably define over Rose, Jr. for the reasons set forth with regard to claim 49. These claims additionally include subject matter which is not shown, described or suggested by Rose, Jr. and for that reason also patentably define over Rose, Jr..

For example claim 12 includes that the article to be tracked are parts, subassemblies, assemblies, devices or mechanisms. Rose, Jr. does not show or describe a system for tracking such articles. Rose, Jr. shows and describes only two systems. One such system tracks entire vehicles or boats, which already have an assigned VIN number for accurate title information and not as claimed. The other system is for jewelry, art and like items and assigns a specific number to the entire article.

Claim 50 includes that the articles to be marked are so marked with a matrix-type encoded symbology while claim 51 includes that such matrix-type encoded symbology is marked directly to the article utilizing the material of the article. Here again Rose, Jr. neither shows, describes or suggests utilizing matrix-type encoded symbology or direct part marking. As such such claims patentably define over Rose, Jr.

.Claim 52 includes that the unique security number is applied directly to the article to be tracked and that it is of the article material. Rose, Jr. does not show, describe or suggest so marking the things he is tracking and, as such, claim 52 patentably distinguishes over Rose, Jr.

Claims 53 and 18 through 32 depend either directly or indirectly from claim 52 and patentably define over Rose, Jr. for the reasons set forth with regard to claim 52. These claims additionally include subject matter which is not shown, described or suggested by Rose, Jr. and for that reason also patentably define over Rose, Jr.

Method claim 54 includes that the logins are encrypted. Rose, Jr. does not describe, show or suggest encryption of login data. As such, rejection of claim 54 on Rose, Jr. is inappropriate since a reference used for a rejection under 102 (b) must show each and every element claimed.

Claims 55, 56 and 34 through 48 depend either directly or indirectly from claim 54 and patentably define over Rose, Jr. for the reasons set forth with regard to claim 49. These claims additionally include subject matter which is not shown, described or suggested by Rose, Jr. and for that reason also patentably define over Rose, Jr..

USSN 09/804,811

CURTIS W. HOWES, ET AL

Page 14

For the above reasons claims 2-16, 18-32 and 34-56 patentably distinguish over the cited and applied references; taken either singly or in combination. Allowance thereof and of this application is in order and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted:



Morris I. Pollack
19 Eberhardt Road
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936
Phone: 973-386-1651
Fax : 973-515-8003

Date : April 21, 2005